

**Accreditation Advisory Group  
Minutes  
March 6, 2013  
3:00-5:00 p.m.  
ATC 103**

In attendance: Jeanie Dewhurst, Andy Kivel, Ann Patton, Laurie Lema, Newin Orante, Mario Tejada, Becky Opsata, John Freytag, Sue Rohlicek, Peter Garcia  
Absent: Lindsey Lang, Jeanette Peavler

<b>1.</b>	<p><b>Agenda Review (Action)</b> The agenda was approved with the removal of item number 5. There was consensus approval.</p>
<b>2.</b>	<p><b>Announcements</b> The MLT program will not be submitted in the substantive change report. There is differing terminology in administration, instruction, and student services SLOs. Peter asked the SLO Committee to review and decide on terminology. Jeanie will send Mario a copy of DVC Procedure 1018.01, Student Learning Outcomes and Achievement. Newin will talk with student services folks about appropriate terminology for learning outcomes.</p>
<b>3.</b>	<p><b>Approval of February 20, 2013 Meeting Notes (Action)</b> The February 20, 2013 minutes were approved as presented. There was consensus approval.</p>
<b>4.</b>	<p><b>ALO Report</b> <b>Susan Lamb will provide updates on:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Co-chair training, March 7 and March 8</b></li> <li>• <b>Annual Accreditation Reports</b></li> <li>• <b>Institutional SLOs</b></li> <li>• <b>Other</b></li> </ul> <p><u>Co-chair training:</u> Susan reviewed the slide presentation for the co-chair training. Co-chairs will generate names for committee members and pass onto Peter, Ann, or Laurie. There will be a kick-off meeting with the co-chairs.</p> <p><u>Annual Accreditation reports:</u> The district will be providing a good portion of the data for the college's annual report. Susan began the discussion with the Faculty Senate about college benchmarks. This information will not be available for the March 29, 2013 deadline. A decision will be made by the end of the semester. Our CTE programs with separate testing and accreditation also have to have benchmarks. SLO assessment results should be available to prospective students and posted on our website. Mario explained that this information is private and will need faculty buy-in before publishing the data. Peter suggested giving some language to address the conceptual concerns of faculty. Faculty Senate and the SLO Committee should determine what the regulations are and what is needed.</p> <p><u>Institutional SLOs:</u> Susan explained that the current ISLO was developed at a different time and is not tied in with the institutional goals. She would like to see an</p>

	ISLO linked to GE, CTE, student services, and degree outcomes. It was agreed that the president owns the current ISLO. It should be assessed in President's Cabinet and Faculty Senate. This conversation will be kicked off in consultation with the president and Faculty Senate president. Laurie Lema agreed to place this on the Faculty Senate agenda with a recommendation from the SLO Committee that we think the current ISLO is no longer driving the institution. Faculty Senate will invite Student Services into the conversation.
5.	<b>U.S. Department of Education Requirements</b> <b>Lamb will provide updates on changes.</b>  Tabled
6.	<b>Other</b>

Next Meeting: March 27, 2013  
2:00-3:00 p.m.  
ATC 103