

**SPECIAL ACCREDITATION
CLASSIFIED SENATE MEETING NOTES**

September 18, 2009

Attendance: 45

Topic:

Why the president of the Classified Senate Sign the Show Cause Accreditation Document
With the statement next to her name **“My signature acknowledges receipt only.”**

Meeting called by the following people:

Maria Barno, Sue Rohlicek, Colleen Lento, Ann Patton, Teresa Molnar.???? Others?

Discussion:

Maria Barno was an ad hoc “sergeant of arms”

President Iannucci introduced the topic.

President Iannucci stated that she felt that putting her name to a document that didn’t reflect what really happened on our campus put her personally liable and at risk.

Some ground rules for the meeting were stated.

Meeting to last 30-45 minutes, No personal attacks, one comment/question @ a time limit 1-2 per person...in order that everyone can get heard, be respectful of everyone

Questions:

1) Michael Gong stated that the document in question could be rectified. Michael addressed employee concern about their status should accreditation not go through, he stated employees/faculty would not be losing their jobs.

President Iannucci added that she did not know the status of the document at this time, and it could very well be that she would receive another version for signature.

2) Colleen Lento stated review of the accreditation document is still ongoing, she asked if certain wording were changed would Jocelyn be willing to sign then?

President Iannucci responded that “yes” she would consider it.

3) Nancy Harvey asked why President Iannucci objects to the word "broad" in the signature document. President Iannucci responded by outlining specific items in the document.

4) Cheryl Haynes first wanted to say that she supports President Iannucci and all the work she has done, but urged to sign the document and to continue fight for representation issues later.

5) Courtney Crew asked President Iannucci what specifically in the document may her decide to sign the signature page the way she did.

President Iannucci responded by stating that many things were decided beforehand, at brainstorming session classified was not represented, classified was not included when a member was ill during parking lot sessions during the summer, a meeting with Barbara Beeno, etc.

6) Melody Phillips suggested that perhaps change to title or name for classified on the signature page. Melody was concerned that the caveat "in receipt only" would send a red flag and acc team would think that the campus is not united.

7) Heather Lee stated that what happens at the DVC campus affects the entire District.

8) Sue Rohlecik stated her concerns regarding President Iannucci signature on the acc document. She stated that her signature represents all classified and asked President Iannucci to reconsider.

9) Teresa Molnar stated that she wished President Iannucci would have communicated to the classified staff her concerns about signing the document so all could have expressed their concerns.

10) Ade Origenwa commented that she did not believe that the campus will lose its accreditation because of the signature page on the acc document.

Garbled.

Someone.....What specifically is wrong with the report?

President Iannucci.....there are many things that I feel were misrepresented in the report.....too many to go through...if you would like to hear the "Accreditation story" I would be glad to sit with you and go over it in depth..... No time here right now.

Many questions....