


How should we compose groups to read and rank program reviews?

Note: this is not meant to be exhaustive, there are probably many other considerations.

Reading groups:

13 total members (including one student). Divide into 3 groups (4 members each) or 4 groups (3 members each).



Each program reviews is read by all PRC members
Same: each *request* ranked by 4 people

Allows for a more campus-wide view for themes that arise because all programs are read.

However, only 1/4 of comprehensives read, so wouldn't be a campus view anyways

Easier to find and communicate themes about each category.

More checks and balances?

Easier to combine bands?

Group people with more knowledge about certain categories.

Each program review is only read by 3-4 members of IC
Same: each *request* ranked by 4 people
Would greatly reduce reading time

Allow for more detailed attention to be spent on each program for themes and recommendations.

Able to look at all requests within a program comprehensively; readily apparent if requests are linked for programmatic reasons.

Can't compare across many PRs for themes.

Difficult to combine ratings across groups?

E.g. group A. could rank all the tech requests harshly and group B. could rank all the tech requests highly, so when combined the bands might not match up.

However, we may be concerned with how all requests (regardless of category) rank overall?

Mix up membership within each group so one person likely to know more about tech, another facilities, another equipment.