

BUDGET COMMITTEE

October 24, 2014, BFL 210, 9:00 – 11:00

Attendance: Laura Burns, Kathleen Costa, April Doty, Toni Fannin, Valerie House,
Jackie Jones, Virginia Poetry

Guests: Roshan Dahi, Peter Garcia , Percy Roper

	Topic/Activity	Presenter	Outcome
1	Public Comment		None
2	Announcements		None
3	Agenda Review		Peppo overviews plans for today's meeting. Jones motions to approve; House seconds.
4	October 3, 2014 Minutes		There is a typo in item 2: "Jones will work with Costa." Fannin motions to approve with correction; Burns seconds.
5	October 10, 2014 Minutes		Peppo is asked to confirm that student Keith Montes was added to the budget committee distribution list. Fannin motions to approve; Doty seconds.
6	Budget Allocation for IT Replacement Funding	Peter Garcia with clarifications from Roshan Dahi	<p>Prior to making its decisions with respect to Integration Council recommendations, Peter is asking the committee to consider the costs of IT Replacement Funding. After much discussion and in the absence of other information, it seems that the budget committee will agree to allocate the entire amount requested to replacement funding. No decision was actually reached.</p> <p>These issues were raised during the discussion:</p> <p>There are four categories of "replacement" IT costs: faculty, staff, administrator computers; lab computers; the projector project; servers. These four projects would cost about \$512,000 based upon data provided by Percy Roper and calculation by Roshan. take about \$512,000, leaving the committee with only \$238,000 to fund all of the college</p>

			<p>IUPR requests.</p> <p>We have a problem on campus with monitoring and inventorying our assets (like computers)..</p> <p>The College has not decided the over-all extent of what Peter calls a “serviceable network”; we have many second and third generation “bounce-out” computers which are useful but occupy a disproportionate amount of IT energy. There also needs to be a discussion about well defined sequence of secondary use for computers, e.g. for low level but important tasks.</p> <p>We discussed at some length the last columns of the chart which indicate that we have to set aside a great amount of money during 2014-15 to catch up with the fact that we didn’t set aside funds in 2013-14.</p> <p>Replacement costs are just for hardware and don’t include the software and licensing costs (especially for labs) or the labor costs for install and maintenance.</p> <p>It is cheaper and easier to install large batches of technology all at one time.</p> <p>The replacement projector project has been stymied because the cost of installing by an outside contractor seemed prohibitive, so we moved to in-house installation. But that process is taking so long, it might make more sense to spend the extra money and get the job completed.</p> <p>We need to have a campus-wide discussion about printers, specifically about hub printing rather than having printers in every office.</p>
--	--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

			<p>Peter argued that the committee should start each year by evaluating whether the funds from the previous year have been spent appropriately. Jones raised many questions about the status of last year's HR funding and why that is not showing up in the budget.</p> <p>The committee seemed disposed to think the amount estimated for computer/projector replacement was the right amount , but that 1) we had the idea these funds would be coming from other sources; 2) this replacement request leaves the budget committee with few resources to meet the campus expectations of the IUPR process.</p>
7	Integration Council funding recommendations		<p>The committee was left with little time to discuss the funding recommendations. Peter urged us not to move line by line but rather to work from principles. Fannin suggested we really focus on the strategic plan. Peppo agreed to create a simplified version of the IC recommendations—going about four deep in each category and leaving out those items which have been or can be funded through alternative sources.</p>