C O L L E G E   C O U N C I L
MINUTES

Monday, September 20, 2010
Community Conference Room
2:00 pm – 3:30 pm

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the College Council of Diablo Valley College will have a meeting on Monday, September 20, 2010. Public testimony will be invited in conjunction with the Council discussion on each item. All meetings are held in locations that are wheelchair accessible. To request accommodations for disabilities or for further information, please contact College Council Chair Dennis Franco at (925) 685-1230, ext 2296, or by email at dfranco@dvc.edu.

I		**Organizational Items**
	1.1	**Call to Order:** Dennis Franco called the meeting to order at 2:05 p.m.
	1.2	**Attendance:** Dennis Franco, Stacey Shears, Donna Floyd, Laurie Lema, Becky Marr, Nancy Ryanen-Grant, Glenn Appell, Judy KleinFlynn, Nick Holmes, Kevin Park, VP of Executive Affairs, Bill Oye, Judy Walters **Absen**t: Michael Almaguer, Nancy Deason, Katerina Schreck **Guests:** Ted Wieden, Keith Mikolavich Nick Holmes and Kevin Park introduced themselves as the new ASDVC representatives.
	1.3	**Adoption of the Agenda:** it was moved (Shears) and seconded to adopt the agenda as presented.
	1.4	**Approval of the September 13, 2010, minutes**: It was moved (Lema) and seconded to approve the minutes as presented.
II	2.1	**Public Forum** *This time is reserved for members of the public to address the College Council. A limit of five (5) minutes per speaker and fifteen (15) minutes per topic shall be enforced.* Nick Holmes explained that on October 7 there will be a rally to save public education. This will be an ideal venue to articulate concerns on education and the growing expenses. This is a chance for people to see what's going on and what's right and what's wrong with education.
III		**Officer Reports**
	3.1	**Chair: Dennis Franco** Franco is happy to report that all changes suggested by College Council members to the Accreditation Follow-Up Report were made. Franco explained that the Classified Senate met on 9/15, and expressed a few concerns. These concerns were addressed and changes made to the report. The concern expressed by a College Council member about specificity will be addressed in the evidence

		attached to the report.
IV		**Action Items – Second Reading – Decision**
V		**Action Items – First Reading - Consensus**
	5.1	**DVC Committee Handbook** Franco shared that the task force met on Friday, 9/17, and made recommendations for changes to the following ten committees: Equal Employment Opportunity advisory Committee, Institutional Effectiveness Committee, Integration Council, Budget Committee, Workforce Development Committee, Enrollment Management Committee, College-wide Staff Development Committee, Foundations for College Success Committee, Information Technology Committee, and Matriculation Committee. The other five committees had no changes made: Institutional Planning Committee, Student Equity Committee, Safety Committee, Facilities Committee, and Environmental Sustainability. The recommended change to the Budget Committee's charge was sent back to committee members for further review. Donna Floyd shared that the Equal Employment Opportunity Advisory Committee is meeting on 9/22 and will review its charge. Franco explained that there are a couple of options in reviewing all recommended changes: agree to the task force recommendations; review six committees at a time; or to look at each committee one by one. Lema suggested reviewing all the operational committees together and then the governance committee. It was moved (Ryanen-Grant) and seconded to review each committee's charge one at a time. There was a friendly amendment to review them one by one per the type of committee they are, and then vote by type of group. There was consensus approval. After discussion, it was agreed that two operational committees, Information Technology and Workforce Development, will go back to their 2009-2010 charge. Information Technology will discuss its co-chair format to determine if any constituent group can serve as co-chair, not just the executive dean of Information Technology and a faculty member. Laurie Lema shared that the Faculty Senate's position is that the Workforce Development Committee is overwhelmingly academic and professional and should report to Faculty Senate. Franco will notify the co-chairs of these committees of College Council's issues. It was moved (Shears) and seconded to approve the following operational committees as presented by the task force: College-wide Professional Development, Enrollment Management, Environmental Sustainability, Equal Employment Opportunity Advisory, Facilities, Foundations for College Success, Institutional Effectiveness, Matriculation, Safety, and Student Equity. There was consensus approval. Each governance committee was reviewed and acted upon separately:

Budget Committee: It was moved (Appell) and seconded to approve the new language in the charge proposed by the Budget Committee. There was consensus approval.

Institutional Planning: The task force made no changes to the committee's recommended changes. Walters explained why the co-chairs are faculty and management representatives. Because this committee oversees the Accreditation work, there is some talk about expanding this committee when work begins on the focused mid-term report.

It was moved (Lema) and seconded to accept the committee as presented. There was no consensus. Nancy Ryanen-Grant explained that she does not like the chair structure and feels that the co-chairs should be selected by the committee. Shears feels that the committee should be aware that both classified and students may like to have a voice as chairs.

It was moved (KleinFlynn) and seconded to accept the changes with the recommendation that the committee look at the co-chair structure and discuss why co-chair representatives are limited to management and faculty. Franco will share College Council's broad conversation with Institutional Planning.

Franco asked for another consensus vote. There was no consensus. Ryanen-Grant did not change her opinion. Nick Holmes shared that he did not want to accept the changes because the committee may not change the co-chair structure.

It was moved (Shears) and seconded for an emergency majority vote. There was consensus approval.

The vote count was in favor to accept the changes to Institutional Planning Committee's charge with two nays.

Integration Council (IC): Ryanen-Grant pointed out that Integration Council does not allocate funds. The Budget Committee does. Ted Wieden explained that Integration Council makes two kinds of recommendations, themes to Budget Committee and recommendations from program review to College Council. The Budget Committee allocates a designated amount to IC to fund requests based on program review and college-wide plans. Final recommendations will come to College Council. Bill Oye expressed concerns that there continues to be potential confusion and overlap in regards to the roles and responsibilities of Integration Council and the Budget Committee, College Council, and Institutional Effectiveness.

It was moved (Lema) and seconded to accept Integration Council's charge as stated. There was no consensus. Ryanen-Grant wishes to strike "allocating designated funds" from the charge.   Appell reminded Council members that the charge for Integration Council is mentioned in the Show Cause Report.

Franco again asked for consensus. There was no consensus.

		It was moved (Lema) and seconded for an emergency majority vote. The motion passed 5-4. It was moved (Appell) and seconded that Integration Council review that part of the charge in the coming year so they can be more specific on what context the funding allocations occur. There was consensus approval.
VI		**Discussion Items**
	6.1	**College Council Charge** It was moved (Oye) and seconded to accept the revised charge for College Council as presented. KleinFlynn asked why recommendations made by committees to College Council will be "accepted" rather than "approved". Wieden explained that approval is made by president. Three of the items removed from College Council were added to the introduction section for all college-wide committees to follow. Institutional Planning is now responsible for strategic directions. Shears call the question. There was consensus approval for the College Council charge as presented.
VII		**Information Items & Reports**
	7.1	DGC – standing item
VIII		**Announcements**
IX		**Future Agenda Items**
IX		**Adjournment:** 3:43 p.m.

Next Meeting: October 4, 2010
Community Conference Room